California 2024 Funding Assumption Survey Updated April 17, 2024

Amortization

Valuation

Asset

Trailing Returns

Base Assumed

	RANK PLAN SPONSOR														PLAN SPONSOR	Investment	Wage	"Excess"	Period	Asset	Smoothing	5 Year	10 Year 1	Year 20	Year
2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	<u>2016</u>	2015	2014	2013	2011	2010	2009			Growth (b)	Return (a) - (b)		Corridor	(years)		(market pe	rcents)	
1	1	4	2	3	2	2	6	6	6	5	3	4	5		ERS - Judges System II 1,3	(a) 6.00%			% 10; G/L layered 20, all ramped	N/A (market)	N/A				
2	2	1	3	4	4	3	4	3	3	3	<u>2</u>	9	9	10 San M	lateo County	6.25%	3.25%		% 15; G/L 15-layered	Y: 80-120	5 with offsets	5.7	6.8		
3	3	2	1	2	3	4	5	5	5	4	7	10	11		of San Diego ⁵	6.50%			% 4-declining and part layered ;G/L layered 15. level % financing	Y: 80-120	4	6.8	7.7		
4	4	7	6	6	8	8	7	8	8	8	5	6	8		epartment of Water & Power	6.50%			% G/L 15 - layered Level \$\$	N	5 - 10	7.11	7.67		
5	<u>5</u>	16	11	10	<u>9</u>	19	18	<u>17</u>	22	<u>22</u>	31	30	29	30 San D	Diego County	6.50%	3.00%	3.50%	% 2-rolling; G/L 20 layered	N	5 10	5.77	6.44		
6	6	<u>3</u>	13	12	11	9	8	11	11	<u>10</u>	22	20	22	24 Fresn	o County	6.50%	3.00%	3.50%	% 10-declining; G/L 15 layered	Y: 70-130	5	5.85	6.23		
7	10	8	<u>7</u>	18	16	<u>15</u>	29	29	30	32	30	36	27	8 Mendo	ocino County	6.50%			% 13-declining; G/L 18 layered	Y: 75-125	5	6.45	7.48		
8	7	5	4	5	5	5	2	4	<u>4</u>	<u>23</u>	<u>17</u>	3	7		of San Jose (Safety) ⁹	6.625%			% most G/L 15 layered	Y: 80-120	5 (new reset)	7.5	6.2		
9	8	6	5	9	7	<u>7</u>	16	12	12	31	29	33	34	•	of San Jose (General) ⁹	6.625%			% most 16 - declining; most G/L layered 20	N	5	7.5	6.2		
10	9	12	10	8	6	6	3	2	2	2	4	5	4	4 Contra	ra Costa County	6.75%	3.00%	3.75%	% G/L 18 layered	N	5	4.37	6.52		
11	12	18	14	13	14	13	11	15	15	<u>13</u>	33	32	31	32 City o	of Fresno (Safety)	6.75%	3.00%	3.75%	% if FR<100%, G/L 15 layered; if FR over 110%-30	N	5	7.29	7.9		
12	13	19	15	14	15	14	12	16	16	<u>14</u>	34			•	of Fresno (General) ⁶	6.75%			% if FR<100%, G/L 15 layered; if FR over 110%-30	N	5	7.28	7.9		
13	15	17	12	11	10	11	10	14	14	12	13				ma County	6.75%			% 5-declining; G/L 20 layered	N V 70 400	5	5.32	7.6		
<u>14</u>	24	26	21	23	21	21	20	19	18	<u>18</u>	23	22	15	17 Imperi	rial County	6.75%	3.00%	3.75%	% 8- declining; G/L 15 layered	Y: 70-130	5 with offsets	6.81	7.12		
15	11	<u>9</u>	17	<u>16</u>	26	<u>24</u>	13	7	7	6	8	<u>11</u>	37		eda-Contra Costa Transit 4	6.75%			% 5-declining; G/L 20 layered	Y: 80-120	5				
16	14	10	<u>8</u>	17	13	12	31	30	28	29	25				mento County	6.75%			% Most 12 - declining; G/L 20 layered	Y: 70-130	7	7.28	7.57		
17	17	15 30	26	29	27	27	25	27 34	25 34	<u>27</u>	<u>21</u>				uis Obispo County	6.75%			% 17- declining; G/L 20 layered	N V: 70 420	5	5.1	6.2	5.2	
18	<u>18</u>	30	30	33	33	34	32	34	34	35	36	40	40	39 East E	Bay Municipal Utility	6.75%	3.00%	3.75%	% Pre-2012 layered 30; Otherwise G/L-20 layered	Y: 70-130	5	7.08	8.12		
19	<u>19</u>	33	33	35	35	<u>37</u>	26	26	23	<u>19</u>	28	28	25	23 San Jo	oaquin County ⁷	6.75%		3.75%	% 10-declining; G/L 15 layered	Y: 80-120	5	4.8	5.3	3.5	5.7
20	20	<u>13</u>	27	28	29	29	<u>23</u>	31	<u>31</u>	<u>21</u>	15	16	14		ed County	6.75%			% 10-declining; G/L 24 layered Most with ramping	N/A(market)	N/A	7.3	7.7	6.3	6.6
21	21	<u>14</u> 20	25	27	25	26	22	<u>22</u> 20	36	36	37				slaus County	6.75%			% 13 - declining; G/L 20 layered	Y: 80-120	5	5.8	7	6.6	6.5
22	22		16	15	12	10	<u>9</u>		20	16	18		30		Barbara County	7.00%			% most 7-declining; G/L 19 layered and ramped	N/A(market)	N/A	7.5	7.2	6.2	6.8
23	23	21	19	20	18	17	21	<u>24</u>	19	<u>15</u>	<u>9</u>	21	21	14 Marin	County ⁴	6.75%	2.75%	4.00%	% 7-declining; G/L 24 layered and ramped	N/A (Market)	N/A	7.1	8.1	6.8	
24	25	22	24	24	22	<u>25</u>	14	9	9	7	11	13	10	12 Ventu	ıra County	7.00%	3.00%	4.00%	% most G/L 15 - layered	N	5	8.03	8.16		
25	29	28	23	25	23	22	28	28	27	28	24	23	17	20 Alame	eda County	7.00%	3.25%	3.75%	% 9- declining ; G/L 20 layered	Y: 60-140	5	5.25	7.35		
<u> 26</u>	16	11	9	<u>7</u>	30	30	<u>30</u>	<u>21</u>	<u> 26</u>	<u>20</u>	14	<u>15</u>	6		ersity of California ⁸	6.75%			% 17-declining,Post-2015 G/L 20 layered, Pre-2015 30 layered Leve		5	6.56	7.35		
27	26	23	29	32	32	32	37	<u>37</u>	29	24	19			21 Tulare	•	7.00%			% 11-declining; G/L 19 layered	Y: 70-130	10	6.2	5.7		
28	27	24	18	19	17	16	19	18	17	<u>17</u>	<u>12</u>	34	33	35 Orang	ge County	7.00%	3.00%	4.00%	% 10-declining; G/L 20 layered	N	5	5.92	6.72		
29	31	31	31	26	24	23	27	25	24	<u> 26</u>	20				County	7.00%			% 12.5 - declining; G/L 18 layered	Y: 50-150	5	6.33	6.67		
30	32	34	34	36	37	36	35	35	35	34	27				angeles County	7.00%			% most 16-declining; G/L 20 layered	N V 00 110	5	7.63	8.05		
31	28	27	22	22	20	20	24	23	21	<u>25</u>	16 26				Angeles Fire & Police	7.00%			% most 14-declining; most G/L 20 layered;	Y: 60-140	7	7.57	8.26		
32	30	29	28	31	31	31	33	32	32	30	26	26	20	22 City of	of Los Angeles	7.00%	3.00%	4.00%	% most 19-declining ; G/L 15-layered	Y: 60-140	1	7.41	7.65		
33	33	36	35	37	36	35	34	33	33	33	32		38	37 CalPE		6.80%			% pre-2019 G/L 30+; G/L 20 level \$\$ with certain ramping	N/A(market)	N/A	6.7	7.7		6.9
34	35	32	32	34	34	33	36	36	37	37	35		28	26 CalST		7.00%			% 23 years with specified supplemental contributions	N	3	8.45	9.24	5.99	7.72
35	36	35	<u>36</u>	30	28	<u>28</u>	17	13	13	11	10	8	3	•	County of San Francisco	7.20%			% most 3-declining; G/L 20 layered; rolling 20-year if FR > 100%	N	5				
36	34	25	20	21	19	18	15	10	10	9	<u>6</u>	12	12	16 San B	Bernardino County	7.25%	3.00%	4.25%	% G/L layered 20	N	5	6.97	7.09		

Assumed

Bold indicates change from previous survey.

- This does not reflect the Judges I system closed in 1994: features include pay-as-you-go funding and a 3% assumed investment return
 Legal agreement determines contribution level -- pegged to specified funded ratios
- 3 Ramping up applies only to the investment portion of the gain/loss for first 5 years; not to the non-investment component
- 4: 50% of "extraordinary" 2008/09 losses amortized over 30 years
- 5 System has been reopened for non-Police pursuant to overturn of Proposition B
- 6 Amortization will revert to average future working lifetime, roughly 10 years, in event FR becomes > 100%
- 7 Extraordinary 2008 actuarial loss amortized over 30 years. Equivalent overall amortization period of all UAL is 11.5 years. 8 Post-7/1/16 hires have option to elect to be in defined contribution ("DC") plan; to date, roughly 35% have elected DC
 - 6% contribution now, eventually increasing to 9% over time, will be made toward UAL for those in DC plan.
 - Additional state contributions may be required as phased employer rate increases to 17%
 - Only 85% of actuarial policy contributions made for 2022-23 plan year
- 9 Amortization payments increase at less than wage inflation; for San Jose General-2.75%; for San Jose Safety-2.5%
- 10 Unrecognized losses as of June 30, 2019 combined into one layer of amortization over 4.5 years

Survey and related text will be posted on <u>roederfinancial.com</u> We can be contacted at (619) 300-8500

6946

FR = Funded ratio G/L = actuarial gains/actuarial losses

"Layered" means a new amotization base is created each year.

POB = Pension Obligation Bond

DC = Defined Contribution

Effective with the 2013 survey, the number of entities was reduced from 40 to 37, eliminating three small closed systems. Effective with the 2021 survey, the number of entities reduced to 36 to reflect omission of another closed system. The amortization periods for assumption changes are often longer than for G/L and are not shown here. Often, there is a separate amortization policy applicable to benefit changes.

500 1.317148085 2.17899 2375 1.056639693 1.23803 975 2.69767	
975 2.69767	98
	34
4000 4 0000	74
1096 1.0683	39
450	
1125	
425	